Creating a Better Place

PRINCIPLES FOR REVIEWING THE PROGRAMME

PVFM June 2020



1 - Does the project positively contribute towards:

... building quality homes

- ... providing opportunities to learn & gain new skills
- ... providing opportunities to grow local businesses and create jobs
- ... ensuring Oldham is the greenest borough
- ... embedding sustainability, energy efficiency & low (zero) carbon
- ... improving life-chances and the health and well-being of our staff,
 - residents and local communities

2 - Does the project positively contribute towards:

- Delivery of over **2,000** homes in town centre
- Delivery of homes in borough
- Delivery additional 1,000 jobs
- Creation of **100** apprenticeships
- Improved life chances and health / wellbeing

Principles for Consideration:

3 - Does the project positively contribute towards REVENUE SAVINGS:

	2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	1
Suggested Revision	£0.5m	£0.261m	£1.634m	£2.391m	£6.175m	£10.961m

- Directly due to investment scheme bringing in external funds
- Directly due to investment scheme brings a positive return ?
- Indirectly due to reduction in future maintenance
 / corporate estate liabilities / whole life costs ?

4 - Does the project have a business case which guarantees ability to cover prudential borrowing costs:

- Reflects latest CIPFA investment guidance
- Change to regulations therefore Council cannot "invest" in schemes specifically to generate a return (yield / surplus)
- Cost of prudential borrowing for a project will ADD to the existing savings profile for the Council – can this be justified ?

5 - Does the project provide future cost avoidance – such as backlog maintenance / reduced whole life costs?

- Does the project add to existing building maintenance or is it self sufficient ?
- Does the project protect staff H&S / wellbeing and can justify the investment ?
- Does the project provide guarantee for reduction in council subsidy ?
- Can the investment in the project offset the requirement backlog maintenance ?

6 - Does the project support post- Covid economic recovery ?

- Basic needs for Health and Wellbeing
 - Homes, Poverty, Safety/Security
- Jobs, employment and training
 - GMLIS priorities
 - Social enterprise / Creative / Digital / Professionals
- Town Centre priority
- Green New Deal
 - Energy, zero carbon, air quality, open green spaces
- Visitor & Tourism / Culture & Leisure

Creating a Better Place ... Review Principles:

Ambition has not changed, programme will support post-covid recovery – BUT financial challenges have significantly increased for both capital and revenue

1) Does the project positively contribute towards the Creating a Better Place objectives ?

2) Does the project positively contribute towards performance targets for homes, jobs and apprenticeships ?

3) Does the project positively contribute towards REVENUE savings targets (£10.9m – is this still achievable / can it be accelerated and / or enhanced)?

4) Does the project have a business case which guarantees ability to cover prudential borrowing (can budgets accommodate borrowing costs on top of savings targets) ?

5) Does the project provide future cost avoidance – such as backlog maintenance / reduced whole life costs ?

6) Does the project support post-Covid economic recovery ?

1) Consider and comment on whether it is appropriate to undertake a review of the regeneration capital programme – creating a better place

2) Consider and comment on the review principles

3) Provide advice on whether anything else needs consideration as part of the review